## Chapter Four

## Participation EExpenditure on Education

## 4. 1 Introduction

Participation and expenditure on education is focused on the participation of 'Students' in the formal system of education in only one 'basic course' during the 'current academic session'.
The above terms within quotes (") are described in chapter two.

This chapter presents some relevant survey findings on the participation of students in education system with the aid of tabular and graphical presentations. These will be helpful to figure out the present status of major characteristics of education in terms of level of current attendance; types of courses being studied; types of educational institution students are studying whether any kind of scholarship/stipend are being given to the students; whether the students are getting mid-day meals/free books etc.; whether taking private coaching and the reason thereof item-wise amount of private expenditure incurred on basic course during current academic session (Refer to Chapter Two for definition) etc. The level of education referred to in this Chapter means the level of current attendance or level of attendance. These two phrases are interchangeably.

Our society is dynamic and so are the different parameters of education. So it is imperative to study the changing pattern of such indicators over time as well.

### 4.2 Attendance Ratios

Attendance ratios are taken as important indicators for providing a surrogate measure for the proportion of a population currently attending educational institutions. Gross Attendance Ratio (GAR), Net Attendance Ratio (NAR) and Age-specific attendance ratio (AAR) are taken as three such principal indicators.

School education is divided into primary, middle or upper primary, secondary and higher secondary levels. In this survey these terms refer to Classes I-V, VI-VIII, IX-X and XI-XII respectively for which the corresponding official age-groups are taken as 6-10, 11-13, 14-15 and
16-17 years respectively.

In this report, all the ratios, up to the higher secondary level, are exclusively of diploma/certificate courses.

## * Gross Attendance Ratio (GAR)

In the gross attendance ratio, for a particular level of education, the denominator consists of all persons in the official age-group for that level, whether attending or not, while the numerator consists of the persons who are studying in that particular level (including persons outside the official age-group). Therefore, it can work out to be more than 100 as well in somecases. Refer to Chapter Two for concepts and definition of GAR.
[For each level of education, GAR is the ratio of the number of persons in the class-group to the number persons in the corresponding official age-group. This ratio can be more than 100].

Table 7: Gross attendance ratio by class group in school education

| Sex | Level of attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { तo } \\ & \stackrel{0}{C} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 97 | 103 | 99 | 88 | 80 | 92 | 20 |
| Female | 100 | 97 | 99 | 89 | 123 | 100 | 15 |
| Person | 99 | 101 | 99 | 88 | 93 | 96 | 18 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 94 | 177 | 120 | 84 | 132 | 114 | 23 |
| Female | 106 | 106 | 106 | 114 | 109 | 108 | 23 |
| Person | 100 | 136 | 113 | 97 | 121 | 111 | 23 |

In table 7, gross attendance ratios have been presented for the entire broad population categories, i.e. rural-urban and male-female.
At primary level, GAR of male was lower compared to female for both rural and urban areas.
For levels primary to higher secondary, GAR for rural male and female were marginally lower as compared to that of male and female for urban areas.
However, a sharp decline is noticed in GAR from higher secondary level onwards.
Table 8: Gross attendance ratio by class group in school education for each social group (Rural + Urban)

| Social group | Level of attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 는 } \\ & \text { तo } \\ & \text { 읎 } \\ & \sim 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ST | 99 | 99 | 99 | 90 | 92 | 96 | 18 |
| SC | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 0 |
| OBC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 102 | 129 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 99 | 101 | 99 | 88 | 93 | 96 | 18 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ST | 94 | 132 | 108 | 106 | 118 | 110 | 24 |
| SC | 119 | 207 | 138 | 27 | 149 | 110 | 19 |
| OBC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Other | 125 | 100 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 17 |
| All | 100 | 136 | 113 | 97 | 121 | 111 | 23 |
| Rural+ Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ST | 97 | 108 | 101 | 95 | 101 | 100 | 20 |
| SC | 119 | 176 | 135 | 23 | 161 | 109 | 18 |
| OBC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 102 | 165 | 2 |
| Other | 125 | 100 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 17 |
| All | 99 | 111 | 103 | 91 | 103 | 101 | 20 |

Gross Attendance Ratio at various level of school education for social group is given in the above table. Table 8 shows that, at primary level it is observed that no other social groups were found except the ST category in rural area whereas in urban area all the social group was found except for the OBC.

Considerable improvement was seen in GAR of Upper primary in urban area as it accounts the highest attendance ratio compared to all the other level of education. On the other hand, in rural area the ratio for social group ST Remained the same as in primary level and there was an increase in social group of SC.

At secondary level, the ratio for social group ST showed downward trend for both rural and urban areas.

A remarkable improvement is evident in GAR of rural area at higher secondary level, as the ratios for all three social group increased except for "other".

In urban area, both the ST and SC had increased in higher secondary level but it decreased at above higher secondary level.

## * Net Attendance Ratio

For each level of education, NAR is the ratio of the number of persons in the official age-group attending a particular class-group to the total number persons in that age-group.

Table 9: Net attendance ratio by class group in school education (Rural + Urban)

| Sector | Level of attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 츨 } \\ & \text { 른 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 자 } \\ & \text { त } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 닟 } \\ & \text { 른 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 짐 } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { " } \\ & \text { 응 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 자 } \\ & \text { त्0 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Rural | 86 | 73 | 89 | 53 | 37 | 20 | 82 | 55 | 84 | 59 | 46 | 13 |
| Urban | 77 | 80 | 91 | 40 | 47 | 23 | 90 | 63 | 86 | 52 | 54 | 23 |
| All | 83 | 74 | 90 | 48 | 40 | 21 | 84 | 58 | 85 | 57 | 50 | 17 |

It can be seen from the above table that there was no noticeable difference between male and female at primary level for rural area butit came into notice that there is some slight difference in urban area for the same. At Upper primary level, it is observed that the NAR for females is lesser compared to males for both rural and urban areas.

Generally, it showed downward trend at secondary level for both rural and urban areas. At higher secondary level, there was an increase in NAR in urban area for both male and female.

However, a sharp decline is noticed in NAR from higher secondary level onwards in rural area for both male and female.
Table 10: Net attendance ratio by class-group in school education for different social groups (Rural + Urban)

|  | Level of attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { z } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { तo } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 를 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { z } \\ & \text { To } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{0}{u} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| ST | 83 | 74 | 89 | 51 | 39 | 22 | 83 | 58 | 84 | 59 | 47 | 17 |
| SC | 100 | 100 | 100 | 21 | 81 | 18 | 100 | 58 | 96 | 0 | 100 | 18 |
| OBC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Others | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 83 | 74 | 90 | 48 | 40 | 21 | 84 | 58 | 85 | 57 | 50 | 17 |

Table 10 shows that NAR for social group SC remained the same for male till upper primary and it decreased at secondary level and on the other hand there was a noticeable variation on NAR of female between primary and upper primary level and it became nil at the secondary level.

For Social group OBC, there was none up to the level of higher secondary for male and till secondary level for female and it was also noticed that OBC person had the lowest NAR.
It is observed that NAR for social group ST for both male and female showed downward trend toward the upper level of education.

Table 11: Net attendance ratio by class group in school education for different Religion (Rural + Urban)

| $$ | Level of attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 는 릉 응 응 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |  |
| Hinduism | 100 | 100 | 100 | 7 | 31 | 11 | 100 | 70 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 20 |
| Islam | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Christianity | 83 | 74 | 89 | 50 | 40 | 22 | 83 | 58 | 84 | 58 | 49 | 17 |
| Sikhism | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| other religions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 83 | 74 | 90 | 48 | 40 | 21 | 83 | 58 | 85 | 57 | 50 | 17 |

Table 11 summarizes net attendance ratios religion-wise. At primary level, percentage for different religion was found equal for both male and female. Whereas some slight variation was noticed at upper primary level that male percentage for Christianity was higher compared to female. After the elementary level, NAR for Hinduism, Christianity declined and Islam was found nil for male.

## * Age-specific attendance ratio (AAR)

For each age-group this measure gives an idea of proportion of persons of a particular age-group currently attending educational institutions, irrespective of the level or class in which they are studying. These ratios are given in this report for all education, i.e. general and technical/professional education combined. Refer to Chapter Two for concepts and definition of AAR.

Table 12: Age specific attendance ratio by age group in school education

| Sector | Age-group (in years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6-10 | 11-13 | 14-17 | 18-23 | 24-29 | 6-10 | 11-13 | 14-17 | 18-23 | 24-29 |
| Rural | 99 | 95 | 87 | 50 | 26 | 97 | 85 | 98 | 48 | 9 |
| Urban | 95 | 100 | 96 | 78 | 21 | 97 | 95 | 99 | 74 | 21 |
| All | 98 | 96 | 90 | 60 | 24 | 97 | 89 | 99 | 58 | 13 |

A comparative analysis given in table 12 indicates that not many variations were seen in the AAR between male and female in rural sector except for the age group 24-29 years. Similar observations were noticed even in the urban sector. AAR was highest for the age-group 11-13 under urban sector whereas the lowest was seen in the age-group 24-29 years under rural sector.

Table 13: Age specific attendance ratio by age group in school education for each social group (Rural \& Urban)

| Social group | Age-group (in years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & \underset{7}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \underset{A}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { Ṅ } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ê } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{-}{-} \end{aligned}$ | N $\underset{\sim}{\text { I }}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { b. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{-}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \text { Hi } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\underset{\sim}{n}} \underset{\substack{\infty \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\underset{\sim}{\prime}}$ |
| ST | 98 | 96 | 90 | 59 | 26 | 97 | 88 | 98 | 58 | 13 | 97 | 93 | 94 | 59 | 19 |
| SC | 100 | 100 | 93 | 91 | 7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 62 | 9 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 73 | 8 |
| OBC | 0 | 0 | 100 | 36 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 5 |
| Others | 100 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 74 | 0 |
| All | 98 | 96 | 90 | 60 | 24 | 97 | 89 | 99 | 58 | 13 | 97 | 93 | 94 | 59 | 18 |

Social-group wise age-specific attendance ratios can be seen from the above table. No major difference in the AAR was seen among the ST group for all the age-groups except in the age-group 24-29 years where the male AAR was twice to that of the female. For the SC social grouping, the only major difference was seen in the age group 18-23 years where males outweighed the female. It was found that the AAR for the OBC was nil for all the age groups for female with the exception of 11-13 years. The highest AAR among all the social groups was observed among 'SC'.

Table 14: Age specific attendance ratio by age group in school education for religions (Rural \& Urban)

| Religion | Age-group (in years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6-10 | 11-13 | 14-17 | 18-23 | 24-29 | 6-10 | 11-13 | 14-17 | 18-23 | 24-29 | 6-10 | 11-13 | 14-17 | 18-23 | 24-29 |
| Hinduism | 100 | 100 | 88 | 64 | 2 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 58 | 2 |
| Islam | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 77 | 0 |
| Christianity | 98 | 96 | 90 | 59 | 26 | 96 | 88 | 99 | 58 | 13 | 97 | 93 | 94 | 59 | 19 |
| Sikhism | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other religions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 98 | 96 | 90 | 60 | 24 | 97 | 89 | 99 | 58 | 13 | 97 | 93 | 94 | 59 | 18 |

Table-14 provides the AAR for different age-groups for major religions. It came into notice that the AAR was higher for the religion Islam for all the age-groups except 24-29 years, followed by the religions Christianity and Hinduism.

### 4.3 Pattern of Current attendance

Analysis of the patterns of current attendance of the students by demographic andeducational characteristics provide a holistic picture of students' participation in the formaleducation system of the country. This information, which is very important for the policy makersand researchers, are presented in the following paragraphs.

## > Current attendance of students by level of attendance 8 by nature of institution

Information was collected in NSS 71st round on nature of the institution, i.e. whether the institution is recognized or not, only for the unaided private institutions and findings are presented in table-14 in the form of percentage of students attending recognized and unrecognized private unaided institutions at primary and upper primary level.

Table 15: Per 1000 distribution of currently attending students of age 5-29 years by level of attendance and nature of institution (Rural + Urban)


The above table indicates that 100 percent of students in the rural sector attend Recognized institution at primary level and $88.1 \%$ at Upper Primary level of education; $11.9 \%$ of the students attended unrecognized institution at Upper Primary level. In urban sector, $95 \%$ attended Recognized institution at primary level and $95.9 \%$ at Upper Primary level of education. It was found that in the urban sector, $5 \%$ and $4.1 \%$ of the students attended unrecognized institution at Primary and Upper Primary level of education respectively.

## > Attendance by type of institution

Different types of institutions, on the basis of their management are government, private body receiving aid from government, i.e. private aided and private body not receiving aid from government, i.e. private unaided.

Table 16: Per 1000 distribution of students by type of institution and level of education (Rural + Urban)


The above table shows that the distribution of students was more than four (4) times in the rural sector than the urban sector for Primary education in Government institution; the reverse trend was seen in Private Unaided institution for Primary level of education where it was almost 4(four) times lower in rural sector than their urban counterpart. For Upper Primary level of education, the rural sector was almost 4 times more than the urban sector for Government institution; for Private and Private Unaided institutions, the distribution was higher for urban sector when compared with the rural sector.

For Secondary and Higher Secondary level, it was more in the rural sector by nearly 2(two) times for Government institution; the urban sector outweighed the rural sector for Private Aided and Private Unaided institutions by $5.8 \%$ and $5.9 \%$ respectively.

Not many variations were seen for Graduation and above between the rural and urban sectors. For Diploma/Certificate level of education, the distribution for the rural sector was more than the urban sector by $4 \%$ for Government institution; it was $6.4 \%$ and $0.7 \%$ times more for the urban sector than the rural sector for Private Aided institutions and Private Unaided institutions respectively.

Table 17：Per 1000 distribution of students by type of institution pursuing general courses （Rural＋Urban）

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \text { むे } \\ & \text { 心 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gen | urse |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | up to $X$ |  |  | humanities |  |  | Science |  |  | commerce |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { O}}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{U} \\ & \frac{0}{N} \\ & \frac{\pi}{J} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | ざ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\pi}{5} \\ & \stackrel{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \text { O- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \dot{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | ざ |  |  |  |
| Rural | 445 | 160 | 127 | 84 | 24 | 50 | 2 | 39 | 13 | 40 | 8 | 6 | 1000 |
| Urban | 117 | 203 | 277 | 78 | 40 | 81 | 27 | 48 | 36 | 25 | 53 | 9 | 1000 |
| All | 327 | 176 | 181 | 82 | 30 | 62 | 11 | 42 | 21 | 35 | 25 | 7 | 1000 |

It is evident from the above table that the share of students from government institutions was noticed to be highest for all the courses except for science under rural sector however it can be seen that the private unaided institution holds the highest percentage up to X and humanities in the urban areas．It was observed that under science scream，private aided institution accounts the maximum percentage for both the sectors． While on the other hand，a huge difference was noticed under commerce stream as the share of student from the private aided institution of urban sector was more than 6 times to that of rural area．

Table 18：Per 1000 distribution of students by type of institution pursuing technical／professional courses

| Sector | Technical／professional courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\bar{O}}{0} \\ & \Sigma \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 乞 } \\ & \stackrel{\text { ® }}{ \pm} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{0}{\mathrm{~J}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{U}} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{U}} \\ & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ざ } \\ & \text { Ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{U}} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \text { Ó } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ざ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ठ } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \dot{\square} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Rural | 88 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 25 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 60 | 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 1 | 4 | 16 7 | 16 5 | 15 3 | 100 0 |
| Urba n | 26 | 16 | 4 | 72 | 31 | 8 | 37 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 78 | 63 | 55 | 55 | 17 | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 24 4 | 77 | 100 0 |
| All | 69 | 5 | 1 | 40 | 27 | 4 | 28 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 61 | 26 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 6 | 4 | 17 4 | 18 9 | 13 0 | 100 0 |

It is observed from table 18 that the distribution of the rural（8．8\％）populace was more than 3 times greater than the urban（ $2.6 \%$ ）sector in terms in terms of pursuing Medical course．There were no students pursuing Medical course under Private Aided and Private Unaided institutions in rural areas while $1.6 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ from the urban sector availed the same respectively．

On the other hand, it is seen that the urban sector outweighed the rural sector in the distribution of students in availing engineering courses for Government (7.2\%), Private Aided (3.1\%) and Private Unaided $(0.8 \%)$ institutions; the percentage for the rural counterpart were $2.6 \%, 2.5 \%$ and $0.2 \%$ respectively.

For students pursuing Law, 2.4\% from rural sector were through Government institution which was lower than their urban counterpart which stood at $3.7 \%$. There were no students taking up courses from Private Aided and Private Unaided institutions from the rural sector while $0.9 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ from the urban sector were found.

It is to be mentioned that the distribution of students pursuing technical courses was found to be lowest in Management courses in both rural and urban sector. In rural sector, $0.3 \%$ was under Private Aided and in urban sector $0.8 \%$ fell under Private Unaided. Nil pursuance of management courses under Government institution may be noted in both the sectors.

For IT and Computer Courses, the distribution of students was found to be highest in Government institution in both rural and urban sector with $14.6 \%$ and $7.8 \%$ respectively. For Courses from ITI etc., the distribution of students was found to be $12.3 \%$ and $5.5 \%$ in Government institution for rural and urban sector respectively; A uniform distribution of students pursuing the same course was witnessed in both rural and urban sector for Private Unaided institution. However, a noticeable difference was seen under Private Aided institution. It is noticed that students pursuing other courses composed the highest percentage for all types of institutions for both rural and urban sector.

### 4.4 Incentives received

In this survey information was obtained for each student on various educational incentives received by them, such as,

- free education or tuition fee waived,
- Scholarship/stipend/reimbursement.

In following paragraphs various aspects of the incentives received by the students are discussed.
Table 19: Proportion (per 1000) of students pursuing general education and (a) getting free education, (b) fully exempted from tuition fee and (c) partly exempted from tuition fee by level of education for each type of institutions (Rural + Urban)

| Type of institution | Level of general education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary |  |  |  |  | Upper Primary |  |  |  |  |
|  | Free educati on | Tuition fee waived |  | no. of students |  | Freeeducation | Tuition fee waived |  | no. of students |  |
|  |  | Fully | Partly | Estd (00) | Sample |  | Fully | Partly | Estd (00) | Sample |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | 667 | 0 | 1 | 396 | 84 | 637 | 112 | 0 | 240 | 41 |
| Private aided | 7 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 22 |
| Private unaided | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 12 |
| Not known | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All (inlc.n.r.) | 390 | 0 | 1 | 679 | 139 | 411 | 72 | 0 | 374 | 75 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | 907 | 0 | 13 | 45 | 34 | 549 | 53 | 0 | 34 | 26 |
| Private aided | 7 | 47 | 87 | 67 | 76 | 10 | 73 | 0 | 86 | 92 |
| Private unaided | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 114 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 47 |
| Not known | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| All (inlc.n.r.) | 154 | 12 | 24 | 269 | 224 | 101 | 41 | 0 | 199 | 166 |
| Rural+ Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | 691 | 0 | 2 | 441 | 118 | 627 | 104 | 0 | 273 | 67 |
| Private aided | 7 | 16 | 29 | 203 | 109 | 10 | 35 | 0 | 180 | 114 |
| Private unaided | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 | 136 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 59 |
| Not known | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| All (inlc.n.r.) | 323 | 3 | 7 | 948 | 363 | 303 | 61 | 0 | 573 | 241 |

It is seen from the above table that $66.7 \%$ of the students in the rural sector and $90.7 \%$ in the urban sector received free education in Government institution at Primary level of education. $63.7 \%$ of the students in rural and $54.9 \%$ in the urban sector received free education in Government institution at Upper Primary level of education. It is also seen that $11.2 \%$ of the students' tuition fees were fully waived in the rural sector for Upper Primary education, while it was $5.3 \%$ in the urban sector.

Under Private aided institution, $0.7 \%$ of students received free education at Primary level for both for rural and urban sectors; it was $1 \%$ at Upper Primary education both for rural and urban sectors. $4.7 \%$ of Tuition fees were waived fully and $8.7 \%$ Partly at Primary level of education in urban sector under Private aided institution. $7.3 \%$ of the students' tuition fees were fully waived at Upper primary education in the urban sector.

Table 20: Per 1000 distribution of students getting tuition fee waived/receiving scholarship, stipend, and reimbursement by reason for receiving

| Sector | Reason for tuition fee waived |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Reason for receiving scholarship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | に | u | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{U}{0} \\ & \frac{C}{I} \\ & \frac{\pi}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\vdots} \\ & \sum \sum \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | no. of students |  | ち | u | ù |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\vdots} \\ & \stackrel{\Delta}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | no. of students |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\square} \\ & \dot{y} \end{aligned}$ | 0 $\frac{0}{0}$ $\frac{\varepsilon}{0}$ $\sim$ |
| Rural | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 83 | 12 | 908 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 1000 | 736 | 184 |
| Urban | 824 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 13 | 1000 | 47 | 30 | 861 | 34 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 85 | 1000 | 493 | 416 |
| All | 937 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 5 | 1000 | 130 | 42 | 889 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 89 | 1000 | 1229 | 600 |

Table 20 shows that the maximum distribution of students getting tuition fee waived was $93.7 \%$ with reason being an 'ST', followed by 'Financially Weak' with $5.1 \%$, 'OBC' with $0.7 \%$ and 'Others' with $0.5 \%$. With regards to 'Reason for receiving scholarship', the maximum distribution was by virtue of being an 'ST' with $88.9 \%$, 'Others' with $8.9 \%$, 'SC' with $1.4 \%$, 'Merit' with $0.7 \%$ and 'OBC' with $0.2 \%$.

Table 21: Per 1000 distribution of students receiving scholarship, stipend, and reimbursement by level of education

| Sector | Level of education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | all <br> (in <br> cl. <br> n.k | Estd.(00) no. of students receiving scholarship, stipend, reimbursement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary |  |  | Upper <br> Primary |  |  | Secondary \& HS |  |  | Graduation \& above |  |  | Diploma/certifica te |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type of institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ن |  |  | 3 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{D} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \dot{\pi} \\ & \dot{Z} \\ & \dot{Z} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{5}{2} \\ & \dot{~} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{~}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ס } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{Z} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\pi} \\ & \frac{\pi}{2} \\ & \stackrel{~}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack { \text { ® } \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{0{ \text { ® } \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { 0 } } \\ {\hline}\end{subarray}}{ }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\sigma} \\ & \dot{Z} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㄹ } \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Rural | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 7 | 85 | 96 | 1 0 9 | 49 | 28 | 85 | 65 | 10 00 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 187 | 321 |
| Urban | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 87 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 44 | 20 | 19 3 | 64 | 10 00 | 0 | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 109 | 227 |
| Rural+ <br> Urban | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 10 7 | 10 | 10 2 | 9 1 | 47 | 25 | 12 8 | 64 | 10 00 | 0 | 2 | 38 3 | 296 | 549 |

It can be seen from the above table that no students received scholarship for Primary and Upper Primary level of education. $11.7 \%$ in the rural sector and $8.7 \%$ in the urban sector received scholarship from Government institution for Secondary \& Higher Secondary level of education; $10.1 \%$ and $8.5 \%$ of students received scholarship from Private Aided and Private Unaided institutions respectively in the rural sector while the percentage for the urban sector stood at $10.8 \%$ and $12.1 \%$ in that order. For Graduation \& above, the percentage of students receiving scholarship stood at $9.6 \%, 10.6 \%$ and $4.9 \%$ for Government, Private Aided and Private Unaided institutions in the rural sector; correspondingly, the figures stood at $11.1 \%, 6.5 \%$ and 4.4\% for the urban sector.

It is observed that the largest percentage of students receiving scholarship was from Government institution under Diploma/Certificate education with $28.7 \%$ in the rural sector and $20.4 \%$ in the urban sector. Under Diploma/Certificate type of education, Private Aided institutions provided scholarship to $19.3 \%$ of the students.

### 4.5 Private coaching

Attending private coaching classes individually or in a group at home or at any other place, offered by a single or more tutors for different reasons is in vogue among students. In this survey information on students attending private coaching with reasons thereof at different levels of attendance were captured.

Table 22: Proportion (per 1000) of students taking private coaching and per 1000 distribution of them by purpose by level of education (Rural + Urban)

| Level of education |  | Purpose for taking private coaching |  |  |  |  | no. of students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ू} \\ & \stackrel{5}{ \pm} \end{aligned}$ | 〒 | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{O} \\ & \frac{0}{7} \\ & \text { D } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 1000 | 679 | 139 |
| Upper Primary | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 1000 | 374 | 75 |
| Secondary \& Higher Secondary | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 1000 | 633 | 151 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 18 |
| Post-graduate \& above | 123 | 0 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 166 | 31 |
| Diploma | 21 | 0 | 579 | 0 | 421 | 1000 | 462 | 116 |
| All (incl.n.r.) | 27 | 0 | 403 | 0 | 597 | 1000 | 2364 | 530 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 69 | 86 | 4 | 0 | 910 | 1000 | 269 | 224 |
| Upper Primary | 173 | 121 | 387 | 0 | 492 | 1000 | 199 | 166 |
| Secondary \& Higher Secondary | 50 | 166 | 337 | 0 | 497 | 1000 | 397 | 286 |
| Graduate | 65 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 0 | 1000 | 56 | 49 |
| Post-graduate \& above | 40 | 0 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 62 | 52 |
| Diploma | 50 | 87 | 306 | 0 | 607 | 1000 | 286 | 274 |
| All (incl.n.r.) | 74 | 110 | 289 | 39 | 562 | 1000 | 1269 | 1051 |
| Rural + Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 28 | 61 | 3 | 0 | 936 | 1000 | 948 | 363 |
| Upper Primary | 77 | 94 | 301 | 0 | 606 | 1000 | 573 | 241 |
| Secondary \& Higher Secondary | 36 | 89 | 181 | 0 | 730 | 1000 | 1030 | 437 |
| Graduate | 34 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 0 | 1000 | 106 | 67 |
| Post-graduate \& above | 101 | 0 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 228 | 83 |
| Diploma | 32 | 52 | 417 | 0 | 531 | 1000 | 748 | 390 |
| All (incl.n.r.) | 44 | 65 | 336 | 23 | 576 | 1000 | 3633 | 1581 |

It is noticed from table 22 that in the rural sector, for Post-Graduate \& above education $100 \%$ of the students were taking private coaching for the purpose of 'Preparing for exam for getting a job'. For Diploma education in the rural sector, $57.9 \%$ was for the purpose of 'Preparing for exam for getting a job'.

Again, it was found in the urban sector that for Post-Graduate \& above education, $100 \%$ of the students were taking private coaching for the purpose of 'Preparing for exam for getting a job'. At Primary education level in the urban sector, $8.6 \%$ were taking Private Coaching for the purpose of 'Augmenting Basic Education' and for Upper Primary education, $12.1 \%$ were taking private coaching for the same purpose. For Diploma education in the urban sector, $30.6 \%$ of the students' purpose was for 'Preparing for exam for getting a job'.

### 4.6 Students staying in hostel

Table 23: Proportion (per 1000) of students staying in hostel pursuing general and technical/professional courses

| Sector | General course |  |  |  | Technical/professional course |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \times \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\ddot{U}}{\stackrel{0}{U}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{u} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\varepsilon} \\ & \underset{0}{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{3}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \varepsilon \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \tilde{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{亏} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{5} \end{aligned}$ |
| Rural | 585 | 127 | 43 | 44 | 17 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 47 | 28 | 96 |
| Urban | 504 | 159 | 95 | 74 | 7 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 35 | 13 | 86 |
| All | 557 | 138 | 61 | 54 | 14 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 43 | 23 | 93 |

Table 23 shows that the number of student staying in hostel for the purpose of studying was found highest up to X , that is $58.5 \%$ for rural and $50.4 \%$ for urban areas.
$12.7 \%$ of Students staying in hostel from rural area were in Humanities courses however it was noticed that only $4.3 \%$ and $4.4 \%$ of the student were in science and commerce respectively.

Even for urban area, the share of student staying in hostel was more in Humanities (15.9\%) compared to science ( $9.5 \%$ ) and commerce ( $7.4 \%$ ).

Under technical/professional courses, it was observed that the lowest share of student staying in hostel was found under management course (1\%) and the highest was noticed under "others" (93\%).

## Expenditure on Education

### 4.7 Expenditure on education by type of institutions and by level of education.

Type of institutions is an important determinant of the average expenditure in respect to different levels of attendance as well as various courses opted by the students in both general and technical education. This section will furnish such information.

Table 24: Average expenditure (Rs) per student during current academic session pursuing general course by items of expenditure for level of education and type of institutions (Rural + Urban)

| Type of institution | Items of expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | course fee* | books,stationery and uniform | transport | private coaching | other expenditure | total |
| govt. | 727 | 580 | 28 | 3 | 335 | 1673 |
| private aided | 3657 | 2086 | 206 | 125 | 802 | 6876 |
| private unaided | 5209 | 1953 | 196 | 11 | 633 | 8001 |
| govt. | 1286 | 1434 | 51 | 5 | 506 | 3281 |
| private aided | 3778 | 2611 | 191 | 258 | 1087 | 7925 |
| private unaided | 6586 | 2785 | 478 | 244 | 1215 | 11308 |
| govt. | 2520 | 1574 | 104 | 16 | 930 | 5144 |
| private aided | 4895 | 2604 | 832 | 124 | 861 | 9315 |
| private unaided | 6272 | 2700 | 397 | 221 | 807 | 10397 |
| govt. | 2459 | 3180 | 146 | 35 | 1202 | 7022 |
| private aided | 5618 | 3706 | 705 | 163 | 1486 | 11677 |
| private unaided | 9251 | 2666 | 416 | 34 | 1443 | 13809 |
| govt. | 3927 | 3368 | 234 | 0 | 3162 | 10690 |
| private aided | 7616 | 4056 | 1250 | 159 | 1536 | 14618 |
| private unaided | 9413 | 2813 | 728 | 0 | 1043 | 13997 |
| govt. | 2830 | 2444 | 139 | 3 | 1339 | 6755 |
| private aided | 6547 | 3544 | 784 | 163 | 1827 | 12864 |
| private unaided | 9380 | 2530 | 512 | 13 | 706 | 13142 |
| all(incl.n.k.) | 4016 | 2147 | 277 | 71 | 964 | 7475 |

It is observed from the above table that the largest share of average expenditure per student during current academic session pursuing General course was incurred in Private unaided institutions for Primary, Upper Primary, Secondary, Higher Secondary, and Diploma level of education except for Graduate level \& above level of education where Private aided institutions incurred the largest share of the same. The least share of average expenditure per student during current academic session pursuing General course went to those studying in Government institutions.

The item of expenditure that incurred the largest share of average expenditure per student during current academic session pursuing general course was on course fee (Rs. 4016), followed by items on books, stationery and uniform (Rs. 2147).

Table 25: Average expenditure (Rs) per student during current academic session pursuing technical/professional course by items of expenditure for level of education and type of institutions (Rural + Urban)

| Type of institution | Items of expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | course fee* | books,stationery and uniform | transport | private coaching | other expenditure | total |
| govt. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| private aided | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| private unaided | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| govt. | 4223 | 3459 | 1033 | 41 | 1113 | 9868 |
| private aided | 7182 | 2831 | 559 | 0 | 1973 | 12546 |
| private unaided | 7040 | 2475 | 127 | 0 | 1406 | 11048 |
| govt. | 6009 | 4626 | 1178 | 0 | 2707 | 14520 |
| private aided | 6502 | 3221 | 12 | 0 | 2171 | 11907 |
| private unaided | 8435 | 8793 | 1431 | 0 | 4392 | 23051 |
| govt. | 8715 | 4521 | 965 | 268 | 2996 | 17465 |
| private aided | 11862 | 3830 | 676 | 54 | 2324 | 18746 |
| private unaided | 29977 | 8064 | 1418 | 44 | 4486 | 43989 |
| govt. | 5773 | 2671 | 352 | 0 | 1472 | 10268 |
| private aided | 14891 | 3772 | 786 | 0 | 2234 | 21683 |
| private unaided | 8380 | 6857 | 151 | 0 | 2996 | 18384 |
| govt. | 8719 | 4191 | 517 | 230 | 3954 | 17610 |
| private aided | 8389 | 3757 | 716 | 0 | 2200 | 15062 |
| private unaided | 10022 | 1666 | 573 | 15662 | 1165 | 29088 |
| all(incl.n.k.) | 8568 | 4041 | 807 | 631 | 2429 | 16476 |

Table 25 shows that average expenditure (Rs) per student during current academic session pursuing technical/professional course was maximum in Private aided institutions for Diploma (up to Secondary), Diploma (Higher Secondary \& above) and Post Graduate \& above education. For Higher Education and Graduate level of education, the maximum average expenditure (Rs) per student during current academic session pursuing technical/professional course was incurred by students studying in Private aided institutions.

The item of expenditure that incurred the largest share of average expenditure per student during current academic session pursuing technical/professional course was on course fee (Rs. 8568), followed by items on books, stationery and uniform (Rs. 4041).

Table 26: Average expenditure (Rs) per student pursuing general courses for govt. and private institutions

| sect or | general course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | no.of students pursuing general course |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | up to class $X$ |  |  | humanities |  |  | Science |  |  | commerce |  |  | total |  |  |
|  | govt. | pvt.aided | pvt. unaided | govt | pvt.aided | pvt. unaided | govt | pvt.aided | pvt. unaided | govt. | pvt.aided | pvt. unaided |  | estd.(00) | sample |
| Rura I | 2405 | 7192 | 8890 | 10183 | 9562 | 13215 | 6851 | 6109 | 20103 | 6299 | 10987 | 12000 | 6171 | 849 | 188 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urb } \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ | 3524 | 9178 | 10469 | 6754 | 8917 | 13326 | 10108 | 12128 | 10579 | 5290 | 12913 | 11760 | 9483 | 511 | 405 |
| All | 2554 | 7990 | 9676 | 8861 | 9283 | 13268 | 9676 | 10126 | 13620 | 5807 | 12482 | 11839 | 7415 | 1360 | 593 |

Table-26 indicates that the share of average expenditure per student pursuing general courses from Government institution was highest in Humanities course (Rs.10183) and lowest in 'Up to class X' course (Rs.2405) in the rural sector. In the urban sector, the same from Government sector was highest in 'Science' course (Rs. 10108) and lowest in 'Up to class X' course (Rs.3524).

For students pursuing general course from Private aided institutions, the share of average expenditure per student was highest in Commerce course (Rs.10987) and lowest in Science course (Rs.6109) in rural sector; again, the same from Private aided institutions was highest in Commerce course (Rs.12913) and lowest in Humanities course (Rs.8917) in the urban sector.

For those students pursuing general courses from Private Unaided institutions, the share was highest in Science course (Rs.20103) and lowest in 'Up to class X' course (Rs.8890) in the rural sector; the same from Private unaided institutions was highest in Humanities course (Rs.13326) and lowest in 'Up to class X' course (Rs.10469) in the urban sector.

