# Chapter Three <br> Level of Education, Current Enrolment EAttendance Status 

## 3. 1 Introduction

In the NSS 71st round survey, the levels of education, i.e. the highest completed level, included non-formal education, below primary, primary, upper primary/middle, secondary, higher secondary, diploma/ certificate courses, graduation, post-graduation and above. This information was collected for all the household members of the selected sample households.

Information on current enrolment and attendance status were obtained for the households members in the age group 5-29 years from the sample households.

This chapter consists of the major findings on the following characteristics:

- Literacy rates and level of education
- Distance to nearest school from the household
- Demographic profile of persons in age group 5
- Enrolment \& attendance statuses

Following discussions in Chapter Three to Chapter Six are with reference to the survey year, 2014 unless mentioned otherwise.

## 3. 2 Literacy rates

Literacy rate is considered as one of the important parameters to indicate the literacy as well as the illiteracy status of the population of a well-defined geographical region as per the adopted definition.
A person who can read and write a simple message in any language with understanding is considered literate in NSS surveys.

### 3.3 Completed level of education

Distribution of population by completed level of education at different levels for each gender in rural and urban areas provide a comprehensive picture of educational status of entire population of the country.

Table 1: Number of households, total no. of persons, persons aged 5-29 years currently attending education, persons aged 5-29 years currently enrolled but not attending education and average household size surveyed

|  | Sample |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sector |  | suosıad fo ou ןełol | sıeəイ 62-ร pə8ie suosıəd |  |  |  |
| Rural | 352 | 1588 | 730 | 543 | 21 | 4.51 |
| Urban | 672 | 2964 | 1368 | 1062 | 14 | 4.41 |
| All | 1024 | 4552 | 2098 | 1605 | 35 | 4.45 |

Table 2: Per 1000 distribution of persons by completed level of education for each quintile class of MPCE (Rural + Urban)

|  | Completed level of education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} 0 \\ & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots \stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| 0-20 | 92 | 15 | 206 | 85 | 211 | 133 | 82 | 79 | 87 | 10 | 1000 |
| 20-40 | 139 | 2 | 104 | 106 | 209 | 244 | 76 | 75 | 39 | 7 | 1000 |
| 40-60 | 113 | 1 | 86 | 132 | 192 | 206 | 104 | 53 | 95 | 20 | 1000 |
| 60-80 | 99 | 3 | 54 | 155 | 204 | 267 | 97 | 30 | 66 | 25 | 1000 |
| 80-100 | 98 | 4 | 87 | 82 | 63 | 198 | 94 | 96 | 230 | 48 | 1000 |
| All | 108 | 5 | 108 | 112 | 175 | 209 | 91 | 67 | 103 | 22 | 1000 |
| Estd. No.of persons(00) | 1388 | 66 | 1379 | 1433 | 2250 | 2680 | 1161 | 856 | 1327 | 280 | 12819 |
| Sample no. of persons | 435 | 30 | 511 | 461 | 691 | 944 | 552 | 388 | 461 | 79 | 4552 |

From the above table it is noticed that the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quintile class of MPCE had the highest percentage share of illiterate persons while the $1^{\text {st }}$ quintile class had the lowest percentage share of the same. The share of literates without schooling was almost 4 times in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quintile than the $5^{\text {th }}$; the share for below primary education was more than twice for the $1^{\text {st }}$ quintile when compared with the highest ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ) quintile class of MPCE.

The $4^{\text {th }}$ quintile class of MPCE had the highest percentage share of Primary education literates while the $5^{\text {th }}$ quintile had the lowest of the same. In the case of Upper Primary education, the share of literates in the top MPCE class was less than three times of that in the bottom MPCE class. For Secondary and Higher Secondary education, the $4^{\text {th }}$ quintile and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quintile class had the largest share of literates respectively.

In case of Diploma/Certificate, Graduate and Post Graduate \& above education, the top quintile ( $5^{\text {th }}$ quintile) class of MPCE had the maximum share of literates.

## 3. 4 Distance to nearest primary, upper primary and secondary school

Distance to nearest school from residence is one of the important factors affecting access to education and attendance. In this survey, information was obtained from all the sample households on distance from the nearest school providing school education at primary, upper primary and secondary levels irrespective of whether any household member was studying in that school or not.

Table 3: Per 1000 distribution of households by distance from school having primary, upper primary and secondary level classes in Rural \& Urban

| Sector | Primary |  |  |  |  |  | Upper Primary |  |  |  |  |  | Secondary |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance |  |  |  |  |  | Distance |  |  |  |  |  | Distance |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\xi}{v} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\xi}{N} \\ & \stackrel{y}{N} \\ & \stackrel{v}{U} \\ & \frac{\varepsilon}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\xi} \\ & \frac{v}{m} \\ & \frac{v}{0} \\ & \underline{U} \\ & \frac{v}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \xi \\ & \frac{v}{n} \\ & \pm \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\xi}{\stackrel{y}{v}} \\ & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\xi}{N} \\ & \stackrel{y}{N} \\ & \stackrel{v}{0} \\ & \text { E } \\ & \frac{y}{i} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\xi} \\ & \frac{\tilde{y}}{n} \\ & \text { v } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \frac{\xi}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \xi \\ & \frac{v}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\frac{\xi}{\vec{v}}}{\frac{\mathrm{v}}{0}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\xi} \\ & \frac{v}{m} \\ & \frac{v}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{\xi}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\xi}{n} \\ & + \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Rural | 869 | 110 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 1000 | 790 | 190 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 1000 | 292 | 192 | 120 | 13 | 382 |
| Urban | 731 | 264 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 649 | 290 | 55 | 5 | 1 | 1000 | 528 | 247 | 142 | 30 | 53 |
| All | 826 | 158 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 1000 | 746 | 221 | 19 | 7 | 7 | 1000 | 366 | 209 | 127 | 19 | 279 |

Table 3 shows that in rural areas $86.9 \%$ of households had primary schools within 1 km distance from their residence. It may be observed that less than1(one) percent of rural households had primary schools beyond $5 \mathrm{~km} .79 \%$ of households had access to upper primary schools within 1 km and $29.2 \%$ households in case of secondary schools within the same distance. In the urban areas, $73.1 \%$ of households had primary schools within 1 km .

Interestingly, no household fell in the category of 3 km or above. In case of upper primary and secondary school, $64.9 \%$ and $52.8 \%$ were accessible within 1 km respectively. One remarkable difference noticed is the rural-urban gap in terms of distance to secondary schools where only $5.3 \%$ of rural households travel a distance of more than 5 km while $38.2 \%$ of urban households had to travel the same distance.

## 3. 6 Attendance \& enrolment status

Current attendance status refers to whether a person is currently attending any educational institution. Current attendance necessarily implies current enrolment but not the vice-versa
However persons, who were temporarily not attending due to reasons like illness, vacation etc. was treated as currently attending in this survey. Same treatment was applicable for the persons, who were awaiting their results after completing a particular course and certain to take admission in any further course during the current year (2014).

While every person, who is attending an educational institution, is necessarily enrolled in that institution but it may so happen that a person, who has enrolled in current academic session/year, is not currently attending the institution. In order to identify those persons, who were currently not enrolled or currently enrolled but currently not attending or never attended, their latest enrolment status was noted.

Table 4: Per 1000 distribution of persons of age 5-29 years by current attendance and current enrolment (Rural, Urban, All: Nagaland)

| Sector | Not attending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Attending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Currently enrolled but not attending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sigma} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{\delta} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 又 } \\ & \text { ㅊ } \\ & \text { 름 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{0} \\ & \stackrel{10}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \} } \\ {\text { तo }} \\ {\stackrel{0}{C}} \\ {\ddot{U}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 又 } \\ & \text { 칯 } \\ & \text { div } \end{aligned}$ |  | 준 0 0 0 0 $\sim$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ẽ } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \vdots 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Rural | 296 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 193 | 106 | 88 | 92 | 131 | 61 | 1000 |
| Urban | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 158 | 117 | 98 | 136 | 168 | 70 | 1000 |
| Rural + <br> Urban | 277 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 182 | 110 | 91 | 106 | 143 | 64 | 1000 |

It can be seen from table 4 that around $30 \%$ of the population fell under the 'currently not attending' category in the rural areas; it was lesser in the urban areas with about $24 \%$ in the same category. About $71 \%$ of the rural-urban combined (Non-formal, Pre-Primary, Primary, Upper Primary, Secondary, Higher Secondary, Diploma, Graduate \& above) population were attending the different level of education.

Table 5: Per 1000 distribution of persons of age 5-29 years by current attendance and current enrolment status for each social group (Rural+ Urban)

|  | Not attending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Attending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Currently enrolled but not attending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㄹ } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 3 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\pi}{\varepsilon} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \vdots 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { तo } \\ & \stackrel{0}{C} \\ & \stackrel{0}{u} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\pi}{E} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \vdots 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ST | 294 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 196 | 105 | 88 | 89 | 133 | 62 | 1000 |
| SC | 259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |
| OBC | 512 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 189 | 95 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |
| Others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 296 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 193 | 106 | 88 | 92 | 131 | 61 | 1000 |
| ST | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 141 | 117 | 105 | 139 | 173 | 75 | 1000 |
| SC | 295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 127 | 35 | 115 | 138 | 21 | 1000 |
| OBC | 392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1000 |
| Others | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 85 | 0 | 153 | 81 | 0 | 1000 |
| All | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 158 | 117 | 98 | 136 | 168 | 70 | 1000 |
| ST | 275 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 179 | 109 | 94 | 104 | 145 | 66 | 1000 |
| SC | 292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 138 | 32 | 150 | 125 | 19 | 1000 |
| OBC | 488 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 152 | 76 | 154 | 0 | 10 | 1000 |
| Others | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 85 | 0 | 153 | 81 | 0 | 1000 |
| All | 277 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 182 | 110 | 91 | 106 | 143 | 64 | 1000 |

From the above table, it is observed that as far as 'currently not enrolled' status is concerned, the proportion of the OBC was the highest with $48.8 \%$. No prominent difference existed between the ST, SC and Others social grouping in the same status. The distribution of the population under 'currently enrolled but not attending' was just $1.4 \%$ under Primary, Upper Primary, Secondary and Higher Secondary combined.
18.2\% (highest) of the population distribution under 'Attending' status was in Primary, $14.3 \%$ in Diploma, $11 \%$ in Upper Primary, $10.6 \%$ in Higher Secondary, $9.1 \%$ in Secondary, $6.4 \%$ in Graduate and above, $1 \%$ in Primary and a meager $0.2 \%$ in Non-Formal.

Table 6: Per 1000 distribution of persons of age 5-29 years by current attendance and current enrolment status for different religions (Rural +Urban)

|  | Not attending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Attending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{c} \\ & \frac{1}{c} \\ & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \frac{5}{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Currently enrolled but not attending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 그 } \\ & \text { तo } \\ & \stackrel{0}{C} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \pm \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 칯 } \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{\vdots} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 $\frac{0}{0}$ $\frac{0}{0}$ 0 |  |
| Hinduism | 840 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |
| Islam | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |
| Christianity | 292 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 194 | 107 | 89 | 91 | 132 | 62 | 1000 |
| Sikhism | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other religions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 296 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 193 | 106 | 88 | 92 | 131 | 61 | 1000 |
| Hinduism | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 160 | 5 | 85 | 101 | 19 | 1000 |
| Islam | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 0 | 257 | 161 | 66 | 29 | 1000 |
| Christianity | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 137 | 115 | 104 | 141 | 177 | 76 | 1000 |
| Sikhism | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other religions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 158 | 117 | 98 | 136 | 168 | 70 | 1000 |
| Hinduism | 360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 294 | 141 | 5 | 94 | 89 | 17 | 1000 |
| Islam | 278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 225 | 141 | 57 | 25 | 1000 |
| Christianity | 274 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 177 | 109 | 93 | 106 | 146 | 66 | 1000 |
| Sikhism | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other religions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 277 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 182 | 110 | 91 | 106 | 143 | 64 | 1000 |

It is observed from above table that Hinduism accounted for the largest distribution of population under 'currently not enrolled' status with $36 \%$, followed by Islam with $27.8 \%$, and Christianity with $27.4 \%$.

Under 'Attending' status for rural and urban total, it is observed that Islam accounted for a combined $72.1 \%$ (Non-formal, Pre-Primary, Primary, Upper Primary, Secondary, Higher Secondary, Diploma, Graduate \& above); the same was $70.9 \%$ for Christianity and $64 \%$ for Hinduism.

